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1. Table 1: This table is designed to track Target \#1, Project 95. The 2018-19 target has been adjusted as a result of attaining last school year the goal of a $95 \%$ graduation rate by 2024. The graduation rate for 2019-20 was $97.7 \%$. The new target aims to maintain a minimum of $95 \%$ graduation rate each year moving forward.
2. Table 2a, Table 2b \& Table 2c: These three tables are designed to track Target \#2 which aims to reduce course failures in grade 6-12. Due to the unique nature of 2020-21, the goal is to maintain or reduce course failures utilizing the 18-19 school year as the baseline. This target is aggressive for this year. Table 2a tracks course failures by subject area in the High School while Table $2 b$ tracks course failures by subject in the Middle School. Table 2c tracks course failures by grade level as opposed to subject area for grades 6-12.
3. Table 3a: This table is designed to track Target \#3 which aims to increase the mastery level on High School Regents exams. No specific percentage of increase is identified, as the goal is to maintain or increase the number of students at mastery level in light of the sudden change in the learning environment. Targets for all Regents exams are derived from baseline mastery level data on the 2018-2019 Regents exams because this is the last datapoint available. District common assessments are being utilized and data analyzed as a predictor for meeting the target increase in mastery level.
4. Table 3b: This table is also designed to track Target \#3 which aims to maintain or increase proficiency rates on NY State Assessments in Grades 3-8. Targets for ELA and Math are set based on data from the 2018-2019 NY State Assessment results in grade 3-8. District common assessments are being utilized and data analyzed as a predictor for meeting the target increase in proficiency level.
5. Table 4: These tables are designed to track Target \#4 which aims to hit $90 \%$ proficiency in ELA and Math for students in K-2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ grade. District common assessments are being utilized and data analyzed to track progress on this goal.

* Note all new targets for 2020-2021 referenced above were developed collaboratively through the K-5 and 6-12 Riders' Pathway Teams.


## RIDERS' PATHWAY TO SUCCESS

## Table 1:

| Riders' Pathway to Success <br> Target \#1: Project 95 95\% or Above Graduation Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | $2014$ <br> Baseline | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Grade Level in 2020-21 | Class of 2018 | Class of 2019 | Class of 2020 | Seniors | Juniors | Sophomores | Freshmen |
| Total District Cohort Size | 153 | 147 | 137 | 122 | 145 | 123 | 141 |
| Total Ichabod Crane High School Cohort Size | 145 | 137 | 128 | 119 | 136 | 118 | 139 |
| High School Graduates | 124 | 130 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *High School Drop Outs | 12 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **High School Behind Grade Level/Still Enrolled | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| High School Transferred to GED | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2017-18 High School Grad Rate | 85.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018-19 High School Grad Rate |  | 94.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019-20 High School Grad Rate |  |  | 97.7\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2020-21 \% On-Track to Graduate |  |  |  | 98.3\% |  |  |  |
| 2021-22 \% On-Track to Graduate |  |  |  |  | 99.2\% |  |  |
| 2022-23 \% On-Track to Graduate |  |  |  |  |  | 99.15\% |  |
| 2023-24 \% On-Track to Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.00\% |

The graduating class of 2021 is currently on track to exceed our target of $95 \%$. It is important to note that reporting on graduation data lags one year behind. Therefore 19-20 graduation data will be reflected on our 2020-2021 NYS Report Card.

## RIDERS' PATHWAY TO SUCCESS

## Table 2a:

| Riders' Pathway to Success <br> Target \#2a: Reduction in High School Course Failures <br> 1st Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Departments | 2018-19 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures | 2018-19 | 1st Quarter <br> Failures | 2020-21 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures <br> Number of <br> Students | 2020-21 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures <br> Percent of <br> Students |  |
| English | 12 | $2.22 \%$ | 41 | Target <br> Reached <br> Y or N |  |  |
| Mathematics | 19 | $3.85 \%$ | 62 | $12.60 \%$ | N |  |
| Science | 28 | $5.31 \%$ | 54 | $11.00 \%$ | N |  |
| Social Studies | 29 | $5.50 \%$ | 70 | $12.52 \%$ | N |  |
| Health | 4 | $5.48 \%$ | 8 | $16.00 \%$ | N |  |
| Art | 3 | $1.64 \%$ | 10 | $5.92 \%$ | N |  |
| Technology | 2 | $1.23 \%$ | 33 | $20.50 \%$ | N |  |
| Music | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 3 | $2.70 \%$ | N |  |
| LOTE | 9 | $4.37 \%$ | 27 | $13.71 \%$ | N |  |
| Physical Education | 19 | $3.62 \%$ | 80 | $15.69 \%$ | N |  |
| Totals | 125 | $3.73 \%$ | 388 | $11.82 \%$ | N |  |

High School course failures for Quarter one have significantly increased. The building administrators have plans in place to address the lack of student engagement: the first is a proactive effort to formalize the process being used to catch students not fully engaged in remote learning; the second is a concerted effort to improve "remote" instruction through PD, more firm expectations for teachers, and more buy-in around simultaneous instruction (ICTA); and the third is reinstating targeted intervention strategies such as the Connections Program, homework help, and tiered study halls.

## RIDERS' PATHWAY TO SUCCESS

Table 2b:

## Riders' Pathway to Success

Target \#2b: Reduction in Middle School (Grades 6-8) Course Failures 1st Quarter

| Departments | 2018-19 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures <br> Number of students | 2018-19 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures of students | 2020-21 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures <br> Number of students | 2020-21 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures <br> Percent of students | Target <br> Reached <br> Y or N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | 2 | $2.33 \%$ | 37 | $9.46 \%$ | N |
| Science | 2 | $5.18 \%$ | 67 | $16.83 \%$ | N |
| Social Studies | 7 | $1.65 \%$ | 47 | $11.58 \%$ | N |
| Health | 2 | $1.60 \%$ | 27 | $20.61 \%$ | N |
| Art | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 4 | $0.99 \%$ | N |
| Technology | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 3 | $2.19 \%$ | N |
| Music | 1 | $0.23 \%$ | 14 | $7.69 \%$ | N |
| LOTE | 8 | $3.28 \%$ | 57 | $14.32 \%$ | N |
| Physical Education | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | Y |
| Totals | 51 | $1.66 \%$ | 299 | $9.17 \%$ | N |

The trend in Middle School course failures is consistent with the High School results and are also significantly increased for Quarter 1. Proactive measures and targeted interventions are also being implemented at the Middle School including Extended Day, academic lunch, and targeted homeroom.

## RIDERS' PATHWAY TO SUCCESS

## Table 2c:

| Riders' Pathway to Success <br> Target \#2c: Reduction in Grade Level Failures 1st Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | 2018-19 1st Quarter Failures <br> Number of Students | 2018-19 1st Quarter Failures Percent of Students | 2020-21 <br> 1st Quarter Failures <br> Number of Students | 2020-21 <br> 1st Quarter Failures Percent of Students | Target Reached Y or N |
| 6 | 4 | 2.48\% | 30 | 25.42\% | N |
| 7 | 23 | 16.67\% | 39 | 30.00\% | N |
| 8 | 7 | 5.47\% | 45 | 28.48\% | N |
| 9 | 23 | 16.20\% | 61 | 43.57\% | N |
| 10 | 16 | 13.56\% | 31 | 26.27\% | N |
| 11 | 20 | 15.27\% | 24 | 17.91\% | N |
| 12 | 21 | 15.33\% | 28 | 23.33\% | N |
| Total | 114 | 11.94\% | 258 | 28.10\% | N |

Course failures have increased across the board at the secondary level. This can be attributed to many factors including a lack of engagement and developing teacher facility with simultaneous instruction. Observations of teaching indicate that teachers and students are developing a comfort level with established routines in the hybrid model. In addition survey results also demonstrate increased teacher proficiency with software platforms. Teachers have been identified who are excelling at synchronous instruction and are being leaned on to demonstrate best practices for their colleagues. With the change in grading practices that occurred last spring, course failures will also serve as a much-needed wake-up call for some students.
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## Table 3a:

| Riders' Pathway to Success <br> Target \#3a: Increase \% of Students Achieving Regents Mastery <br> (85\% and Above) <br> Progress Tracking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course | Common Assessment 1 Mastery | Common Assessment 2 Mastery | Common Assessment 3 Mastery | Common Assessment 4 Mastery | *BASELINE <br> 2018-19 <br> Building-Level <br> Mastery | Target Reached Y or N |
| Algebra 1 |  |  |  |  | 38\% |  |
| Algebra II Trig |  |  |  |  | 24\% |  |
| ELA |  |  |  |  | 48\% |  |
| Geometry |  |  |  |  | 29\% |  |
| Global History |  |  |  |  | 49\% |  |
| Living Environment |  |  |  |  | 55\% |  |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |  | 21\% |  |
| Earth Science |  |  |  |  | 53\% |  |
| Physics |  |  |  |  | 29\% |  |
| US History |  |  |  |  | 59\% |  |

Baseline data is obtained from mastery levels on all Regents exams administered in 2018-19 because the NYS Testing Program was cancelled in the spring of 2020. District common assessments are being utilized as a predictor towards meeting the target increase in mastery level on all Regents exams. However due to the reduction of in-person instructional days, common assessments for quarter 1 were administered at the discretion of the teacher. Teachers are prioritizing curriculum, and common assessments will be administered at then end of Quarter 2 by all teachers. Developing and utilizing common assessments as an indicator of success on Regents exams is still a relatively new process that requires annual adjustments. Ongoing discussion and reflection is occurring to refine common assessments through data analysis.
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## Table 3b:

| Target \#3b: Maintain or Increase the number of 3-8 Students Achieving Proficiency Progress Tracking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade Level | ELA 20-21 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 1 <br> \% Proficient | ELA 20-21 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 2 <br> \% Proficient | ELA 20-21 <br> Common <br> Assessment 3 <br> \% Proficient | ELA 20-21 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 4 <br> \% Proficient | Target (Maintain or Increase Compared to *2018-19 Proficiency Rates) | Target Reached Y or N |
| 3 | 75\% |  |  |  | 65\% | Y |
| 4 | 55\% |  |  |  | 56\% | N |
| 5 | 50\% |  |  |  | 34\% | Y |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 47\% |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | 38\% |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 54\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade Levels | Math 20-21 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 1 <br> \% Proficient | Math 20-21 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 2 <br> \% Proficient | Math 20-21 <br> Common Assessment 3 \% Proficient | Math 20-21 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 4 <br> \% Proficient | Target (Maintain or Increase Compared to *2018-19 Proficiency Rates) | Target <br> Reached Y or N |
| 3 | 66\% |  |  |  | 62\% | Y |
| 4 | 83\% |  |  |  | 55\% | Y |
| 5 | 88\% |  |  |  | 35\% | Y |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 33\% |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | 42\% |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 40\% |  |

Maintaining or increasing the proficiency level on the 3-8 ELA and Math assessments is the target for the 20-21 school year. NYS ELA and Math assessment results from 2018-19 are established as the baseline data. Note: 18-19 is the last year for which we have NYS assessment data. This is an aggressive goal due to the many adjustments made to the learning environment in 20-21 and gaps in curriculum from Spring. Developing and utilizing common assessments as an indicator of success on the NYS 3-8 exams is still a relatively new process for many grade levels and continues to evolve.

## RIDERS' PATHWAY TO SUCCESS

## Table 4:



A 90\% proficiency rate for ELA and Math has been established as the target for all students in kindergarten through second grade for the 20-21 school year. District common assessments are being utilized to monitor this goal. Second grade appears to be off target as compared to K and 1. A deeper dive into this leads us to believe that it may be due to too slow a gradual release of reading responsibility in that grade level. Faculty are currently reevaluating the rate of release of responsibility for students as they transition into grade 2.

