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## Quarter 2, 2021-2022 <br> Table of Contents

1. Table 1: This table is designed to track Target \#1, Project 95. The target through 2024 is to maintain a minimum of $95 \%$ graduation rate. After the first year of the Pathway initiative, the graduation rate has held steady at or above the $95 \%$ threshold.
2. Table 2a, Table 2b \& Table 2c: These three tables are designed to track Target \#2 which aims to reduce course failures in grades 6-12. Due to two years of disrupted learning in 2019-20 and 2020-21, the target is to maintain or reduce course failures utilizing the 18-19 school year as the baseline. This target is aggressive for this year. Table 2a tracks course failures by subject area in the High School while Table 2 b tracks course failures by subject in the Middle School. Table 2c tracks course failures by grade level in grades 6-12.
3. Table 3a: This table is designed to track Target \#3 which aims to meet or exceed mastery rates on High School Regents exams using 2018-19 as the benchmark. No specific percentage of increase is identified. Targets for all Regents exams are derived from baseline mastery level data on the 2018-2019 Regents exams because this is the last datapoint available. District common assessments are being utilized and data analyzed as a predictor for meeting the target increase in mastery level.
4. Table 3b: This table is also designed to track Target \#3 which aims to meet or exceed proficiency rates on NY State Assessments in Grades 3-8. Targets for ELA and Math are set based on data from the 2018-2019 NY State Assessment results in grade 3-8. District common assessments are being utilized and data analyzed as a predictor for meeting the target increase in proficiency level.
5. Table 4: These tables are designed to track Target \#4 which aims to establish an iReady proficiency rate for the 2021-22 school year. During this first year of full implementation, the expectation is that each student will meet their Typical Growth Goal. District common assessments are being utilized and data analyzed to track progress toward this target.
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## Table 1:

| Riders' Pathway to Success <br> Target \#1: Project 95 <br> 95\% or Above Graduation Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | $\begin{gathered} 2014 \\ \text { Baseline } \end{gathered}$ | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Grade Level in 2020-21 | Class of 2018 | Class of 2019 | Class of 2020 | Class of 2021 | Seniors | Juniors | Sophomores | Freshmen |
| Total District Cohort Size | 153 | 147 | 137 | 121 | 143 | 125 | 141 | 167 |
| Total Ichabod Crane High School Cohort Size | 145 | 137 | 128 | 117 | 135 | 121 | 137 | 159 |
| High School Graduates | 124 | 130 | 125 | 112 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *High School Drop Outs | 12 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **High School Behind Grade Level/Still Enrolled | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| High School Transferred to GED | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2017-18 High School Grad Rate | 85.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018-19 High School Grad Rate |  | 94.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019-20 High School Grad Rate |  |  | 97.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020-21 \% On-Track to Graduate |  |  |  | 95.7\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2021-22 \% On-Track to Graduate |  |  |  |  | 97.8\% |  |  |  |
| 2022-23 \% On-Track to Graduate |  |  |  |  |  | 95.87\% |  |  |
| 2023-24 \% On-Track to Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97.08\% |  |
| 2024-25 \% On-Track to Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.00\% |

The graduating class of 2022 is still on track to exceed our target of $95 \%$. It is important to note that reporting on graduation data lags one year behind. Therefore 2020-2021 graduation data will be reflected on our 2021-2022 NYS Report Card.
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## Table 2a:

| Riders' Pathway to Success <br> Target \#2a: Reduction in High School Course Failures 2nd Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Departments | BASELINE 2018-19 2nd Quarter Failures Number of Students | BASELINE <br> 2018-19 <br> 2nd Quarter <br> Failures <br> Percent of Students | 2021-22 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures <br> Number of Students | 2021-22 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures <br> Percent of Students | 2021-22 <br> 2nd Quarter <br> Failures <br> Number of Students | 2021-22 <br> 2nd Quarter <br> Failures <br> Percent of Students | Target Reached Y or N |
| English | 19 | 3.53\% | 27 | 4.73\% | 15 | 2.60\% | Y |
| Mathematics | 30 | 6.09\% | 38 | 7.32\% | 37 | 7.14\% | N |
| Science | 28 | 5.75\% | 30 | 6.02\% | 22 | 4.42\% | Y |
| Social Studies | 32 | 5.88\% | 48 | 8.86\% | 49 | 9.07\% | N |
| Health | 3 | 4.11\% | 13 | 15.48\% | 12 | 14.29\% | N |
| Art | 4 | 2.19\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 1.59\% | Y |
| Technology | 4 | 2.50\% | 2 | 1.20\% | 7 | 4.19\% | N |
| Music | 1 | 0.89\% | 1 | 0.80\% | 4 | 3.17\% | N |
| LOTE | 8 | 3.92\% | 10 | 5.10\% | 19 | 9.36\% | N |
| Physical Education | 10 | 1.89\% | 18 | 3.30\% | 16 | 2.92\% | N |
| Totals | 139 | 3.76\% | 187 | 5.45\% | 184 | 5.33\% | N |

High School course failures for Quarter 2 are lower overall when compared to Quarter 1 and in some cases have come down to below the 2018-19 baseline target. We continue to apply intensive interventions at the high school for students who are behind and who were disproportionately affected by the pandemic and remote schooling, and as we move through the third quarter plan to continually adjust to the needs of students.

Interventions in place to combat course failures include Academic Intervention Services in both push-in and pull out models where groupings and strategies are examined often and reconfigured to best meet the needs of students. For example, new for the third quarter is a combination Science and Social Studies intervention block which will serve students receiving services in both areas. Additionally starting in the third semester, our 9th grade students who are struggling will be assigned direct pullout support through the AIS structure. Tiered study halls are being reinstated for the third quarter, as is on-going tutoring efforts for groups of our underserved populations. Additionally, after school Homework Center is being used to provide additional support for some of our students who are struggling.
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## Table 2b:

| Riders' Pathway to Success <br> Target \#2b: Reduction in Middle School (Grades 6-8) Course Failures 2nd Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Departments | 2018-19 <br> 2nd Quarter <br> Failures Number of Students | 2018-19 <br> 2nd Quarter <br> Failures Fercent of Students | 2021-22 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures Number of Students | 2021-22 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures Percent of Students | 2021-22 <br> 2nd Quarter <br> Failures Number of Students | 2021-22 <br> 2nd Quarter <br> Failures Percent of Students | Target Reached Y or N |
| English | 9 | 2.17\% | 7 | 1.85\% | 5 | 1.33\% | Y |
| Mathematics | 30 | 7.09\% | 9 | 2.37\% | 15 | 3.98\% | Y |
| Science | 4 | 0.97\% | 1 | 0.26\% | 3 | 0.80\% | Y |
| Social Studies | 12 | 2.86\% | 4 | 1.06\% | 5 | 1.33\% | Y |
| Health | 1 | 0.81\% | 9 | 12.86\% | 0 | 0.00\% | Y |
| Art | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | Y |
| Technology | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | Y |
| Music | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.64\% | 0 | 0.00\% | Y |
| LOTE | 11 | 4.56\% | 5 | 1.35\% | 9 | 2.65\% | Y |
| Physical Education | 2 | 0.47\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | Y |
| Totals | 69 | 2.24\% | 36 | 1.32\% | 37 | 1.36\% | Y |

Middle school course failure rates have stabilized and in all cases have met the target for Quarter II. As in the high school, interventions continue for struggling students in core area subjects and are regularly adjusted to meet the needs of students as they move through the school year.
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## Table 2c:

| Riders' Pathway to Success <br> Target \#2c: Reduction in Grade Level Failures <br> 2nd Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018-19 <br> 2nd Quarter <br> Failures | 2018-19 <br> 2nd Quarter <br> Failures | 2021-22 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures | 2021-22 <br> 1st Quarter <br> Failures | 2021-22 <br> 2nd Quarter <br> Failures | 2021-22 <br> 2nd Quarter <br> Failures | Target <br> Reached <br> Y or N |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 5 | $3.11 \%$ | 8 | $6.84 \%$ | 11 | $9.48 \%$ | N |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 21 | $15.22 \%$ | 13 | $10.57 \%$ | 5 | $4.10 \%$ | Y |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 17 | $13.28 \%$ | 7 | $5.04 \%$ | 8 | $5.76 \%$ | Y |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 21 | $15.22 \%$ | 25 | $15.15 \%$ | 36 | $22.22 \%$ | N |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 19 | $15.83 \%$ | 38 | $27.94 \%$ | 33 | $24.26 \%$ | N |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 21 | $15.91 \%$ | 27 | $23.08 \%$ | 26 | $21.85 \%$ | N |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 24 | $17.65 \%$ | 16 | $12.21 \%$ | 11 | $8.40 \%$ | Y |
| Total | 128 | $13.43 \%$ | 134 | $14.44 \%$ | 130 | $14.05 \%$ | N |

Three grade levels met the target of maintaining or reducing the number of students failing a course for the second quarter. We continue to focus on skill and content gaps in the current 9th, 10th, and 11th grade cohorts where administration is working directly with team leaders to plan and adjust interventions. As I mentioned above, tiered study halls, Homework Center, parent conferences, individual student meetings with administration are a priority to help get our students on track. While these numbers remain stubbornly high, it is important to note that intervention programs take time to show results and there have been reductions from Quarter 1 in four out of seven grades and in the overall number and and percentage of students.

In working with our staff, (teachers, teaching assistants, counseling) it is apparent that some of our students, especially in grades 9 and 10 are still adjusting to the expectations of high school. It is common to hear that some students think that they will be pushed on to the next grade even if they do not hit the passing mark in their courses. For some students, this seems to be a carryover feeling from the relaxed expectations in course grading since March 2020. This notion among some students will be part of our dialogue with students and parents who are in jeopardy of failing.
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## Table 3a:

| Riders' Pathway to Success |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target \#3a: Meet or Exceed \% of Students Achieving Regents Mastery <br> ( $85 \%$ and Above) <br> Progress Tracking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Course | Common <br> Assessment <br> 1 Mastery | Common Assessment 2 Mastery | Common Assessment 3 Mastery | Common Assessment 4 Mastery | *BASELINE <br> 2018-19 <br> Building-Level Mastery | Target <br> Reached <br> Y or N |
| Algebra I | 21.32\% | 24.63\% |  |  | 38\% | N |
| Algebra II Trig | 47.62\% | 63.49\% |  |  | 24\% | Y |
| ELA | 31.90\% | 55.90\% |  |  | 48\% | Y |
| Geometry | 26.53\% | 27.08\% |  |  | 29\% | N |
| Global History | 47.06\% | 31.16\% |  |  | 49\% | N |
| Living Environment | 40.65\% | 24.80\% |  |  | 55\% | N |
| Chemistry | 28.33\% | 33.87\% |  |  | 21\% | Y |
| Earth Science | 39.57\% | 41.94\% |  |  | 53\% | N |
| Physics | 48.21\% | 47.37\% |  |  | 29\% | Y |
| US History | 38.74\% | 36.28\% |  |  | 59\% | N |

Data in this chart are reflective of other information collected and analyzed at the high school level. Regents proficiency rates at ICC have historically been excellent and this is a stretch goal for the highly capable learners at the high school. District common assessments are being utilized as a predictor towards meeting the target increase in mastery level on all Regents exams. It is noteworthy that there has been a historically high correlation between the Common Assessment 4 mastery rates and mastery rates on Regents exams.
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## Table 3b:

| Riders' Pathway to Success <br> Target \#3b: Meet or Exceed the number of 3-8 Students Achieving Proficiency Progress Tracking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | ELA 21-22 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 1 <br> \% Proficient | ELA 21-22 <br> Common Assessment 2 \% Proficient | ELA 21-22 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 3 <br> \% Proficient | ELA 21-22 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 4 <br> \% Proficient | Target <br> (Meet or Exceed *2018-19 <br> Proficiency Rates) | Target Reached Y or N |
| 3 | 75\% | 75\% |  |  | 65\% | Y |
| 4 | 76\% | 89\% |  |  | 56\% | Y |
| 5 | 69\% | 83\% |  |  | 34\% | Y |
| 6 | 66\% | 65\% |  |  | 47\% | Y |
| 7 | 67\% | 72\% |  |  | 38\% | Y |
| 8 | 87\% | 90\% |  |  | 54\% | Y |
| Grade Levels | Math 21-22 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 1 <br> \% Proficient | Math 21-22 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 2 <br> \% Proficient | Math 21-22 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 3 <br> \% Proficient | Math 21-22 <br> Common <br> Assessment <br> 4 <br> \% Proficient | Target <br> (Meet or Exceed *2018-19 <br> Proficiency Rates) | Target Reached Y or N |
| 3 | 58\% | 83\% |  |  | 62\% | Y |
| 4 | 83\% | 87\% |  |  | 55\% | Y |
| 5 | 77\% | 71\% |  |  | 35\% | Y |
| 6 | 65\% | 68\% |  |  | 33\% | $Y$ |
| 7 | 75\% | 88\% |  |  | 42\% | Y |
| 8 | 80\% | 85\% |  |  | 40\% | Y |

All common assessments met the benchmark targets for Quarter II. As we have mentioned, the process of leveling these assessments so that they are aligned with the expectations of the State and reflected in the 3-8 testing program has been an ongoing challenge. Making the constant adjustments needed to account for shifts in pacing and content delivery is an ongoing process. Discussions with teachers about the most effective use of data in the context of our overall assessment program are ongoing. There is a growing consensus that norm-referenced data collected in the iReady platform may be a more appropriate interim measure of progress toward proficiency. We are pleased to see these results in grades 3 through 8 and teachers continue to use the
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## (3b Continued)

data gathered from common assessments to monitor student progress through AAA data meetings and to make adjustments to their instructional practices. This research-based practice will continue to be a valuable classroom tool even as we transition to the use of iReady proficiency measures as norm-referenced benchmarks.
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## Table 4:



New for this year, is a target tied to our first-year full implementation of the iReady platform. While this target is based on growth, and the interim measures are based on proficiency we believe that during this transition year it is important to maintain our practice of administering and using Common Assessments to drive instructional shifts at the classroom level. Our target for this year is for $85 \%$ of our students to meet their Typical Growth measure in the platform. As of the completion of the second diagnostic in January, $41 \%$ of students have already met their Typical Growth Target for the year in reading, and $27 \%$ of students have met their Typical Growth Target for the year in math.
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## iReady Diagnostic Results

## English Language Arts



## Math


iReady diagnostics in ELA and Math were given in September and January of this school year. This is a measure of proficiency based on end of year learning standards. This diagnostic is given three times annually and the information collected is used to target interventions for struggling students, to analyze trends across grade levels, and by teachers to make instructional shifts in the classroom.


[^0]:    * Note all new targets for 2021-2022 referenced above were developed collaboratively through the K-5 and 6-12 Riders' Pathway Teams.

